

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,819		02/25/2004	Belgacem Haba	TESSERA 3.0-336 II 5082	
38091	7590	12/29/2005		EXAMINER	
<b>TESSERA</b>			CAO, PHAT X		
LERNER DAVID et al. 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTFIEL				2814	
				DATE MAILED: 12/29/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A·J
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/786,819	HABA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Phat X. Cao	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 October 2005.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b)	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			s is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-68 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 54-65 is/are with constant 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53, 66-68</u> are subject to restricti	on and/or election requireme	nt.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the col  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeya rrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received nents have been received in A priority documents have beer reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	<b>;</b>
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/786,819 Page 2

Art Unit: 2814

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-53 and 66-68) in the reply filed on 10/17/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

## Election/Restrictions

2. The elected claims 1-53 and 66-68 are directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

<u>Species I</u> – a packaged chip comprising a chip attached to the chip carrier by face-down bonding, the chip carrier having the traces disposed on the inner surface of a dielectric layer and the bumps projecting beyond the outer surface of the dielectric layer, as shown in Figs. 1-7.

<u>Species II</u> – a packaged chip comprising a chip attached to the chip carrier by face-down bonding, the chip carrier having the traces and bumps disposed on the outer surface of the dielectric layer rather than the inner surface, as shown in Fig. 8.

<u>Species III</u> – a packaged chip comprising a chip attached to the chip carrier by face-up bonding, the chip carrier connected to the chip bond pads by wire bonding, as shown in Fig. 9.

<u>Species IV</u> – a stacked structure of the packaged chips, as shown in Figs. 12-13. <u>Species V</u> – a test socket structure, as shown in Fig. 14. Application/Control Number: 10/786,819

Art Unit: 2814

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,819

Art Unit: 2814

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phat X. Cao whose telephone number is 571-272-1703. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PC

December 27, 2005

PHAT X. CAO PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4